

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A SUPERHOME in Christchurch Under Winter Conditions: Real  
Performance Through Post-Occupancy Evaluation

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Environmental Management

at

Massey University, Manawatū

New Zealand

**Bramantino Armiento**

**2017**

## **Abstract**

The profile of energy-efficient, high performing, ‘sustainable’ buildings have greatly increased in recent years in response to the need for change in design, construction, and maintenance of the built environment. Residential buildings in particular have been in the spotlight when it comes to the application of ‘green’ building concept. Although it is generally understood that a ‘green’ home provides a healthier and more comfortable housing environment to its occupants aside from generating energy efficiency, little is known about the extent to which such a home actually performs while in use. In New Zealand, a nationwide industry led initiative, known as the ‘Superhome Movement’, was established to promote the designing and building of environmentally sound, healthier, more energy-efficient and overall high-performing homes known as SUPERHOMES. This research investigated the post-occupancy performance and indoor environment quality of a SUPERHOME under winter conditions. This study incorporated the analysis of energy use, monitoring of IEQ, and the surveying of building occupants. Results suggest that (1) the study building has not achieved its design potential with regards to electricity use in the first winter that it is occupied; (2) a SUPERHOME achieves a high level of thermal performance and provides adequate IAQ in winter conditions; and, (3) occupants’ overall perceptions towards the post-occupancy and winter performance of a SUPERHOME are positive. These findings lead to a realization that the ‘green’ status of a build should not be limited to ratings by third-party certification.

## Acknowledgements

It is my honour to acknowledge the roles of several individuals who were instrumental for the completion of my Master's Thesis. First of all, I would like to thank Prof. Robyn Phipps and Dr. Eziaku Onyeizu for playing the supervisory role to this piece of work through their support and constructive criticisms. Special thanks to Dr. Greg Chawynski and Toni Heath, for their 'first-class' reception and support during the data collection process of this study. I'd also like to acknowledge Dr. Karen Hytten and Prof. John Holland for their invaluable advice and guidance throughout my study at Massey University.

My appreciation also goes to:

- Bob Burnett, for his suggestion to study the SUPERHOME, introducing me to the study home, and providing valuable information about the Superhome Movement and the study home.
- Dan Saunders, for letting access to the study home.
- Trevor Foster, from EnaSolar, for assisting with measurement of electricity generation and consumption at the study home
- Pavol Csiba, for his help with thermal imaging.
- Householders, for participating in the research and for willing to have their house be used for this study.

I would have not come until the end without the support of my friends and therefore I must also thank Rachel, Khrisna, Ariq, Kevin, Prisca, Sendy, Klara, Arin, Denisa, Hazell, Anjaya, Om Tedy, and Tante Monica for their unceasing moral support and encouragement in completing my master's degree. I also want to thank Mas Anggito and Mba Mhita, I can't thank them enough for letting me stay in their house for several times that I was in Auckland to meet my supervisors.

My deepest appreciation belongs to my family for their patience, support, trust and love. Last but not least, I would like to thank myself for being 'mad' enough to get this piece of work done.

With regards to numerous questions about my future academic endeavours from family and friends I shall answer in the words of Sir Winston Churchill "Now, this is not the end. It is not even the beginning of the end. But it is, perhaps, the end of the beginning".

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	i
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	ii
<b>Table of Contents</b>	iii
<b>List of Tables</b>	vii
<b>List of Figures</b>	ix
<b>Abbreviations</b>	xi
 <b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b>	 <b>1</b>
1.1 The ‘green’ home trend.....	1
1.2 Research statement.....	5
1.3 Significance of research.....	5
1.4 ‘Green’ houses .....	6
1.4.1 Definitions of ‘house’ .....	7
1.4.2 Definitions of ‘green’ building.....	7
1.4.3 Benefits of ‘green’ houses.....	11
1.4.4 The New Zealand context .....	14
1.4.4.1 Homestar™ .....	15
1.4.4.2 SUPERHOME Movement .....	18
1.5 Design features of study home.....	19
1.5.1 External walls.....	19
1.5.2 Window and doors .....	19
1.5.3 Roof.....	19
1.5.4 Flooring .....	20
1.6 The Climate of Christchurch.....	20
1.7 Outline of Thesis.....	22
 <b>Chapter 2: Review of the Literature</b>	 <b>23</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	23
2.2 Indoor environment quality.....	23
2.2.1 IEQ features .....	24
2.2.2 Relationships between IEQ and occupants’ health.....	26
2.2.3 Sources of indoor contaminants.....	27
2.2.4 Winter IEQ.....	30
2.2.4.1 Temperatures.....	30
2.2.4.2 Relative humidity .....	32
2.2.4.3 CO <sub>2</sub> levels.....	33
2.2.5 Noise .....	34
2.3 New Zealand housing .....	37
2.3.1 Energy use.....	37
2.3.2 Water use .....	37

2.3.3	Housing condition .....	38
2.3.2.1	Insulation .....	38
2.3.2.2	Space heating .....	40
2.3.2.3	Ventilation .....	41
2.3.2.4	Airtightness .....	43
2.4	Post-occupancy evaluation .....	44
2.4.1	Definitions and terminology .....	45
2.4.2	Introduction to POE .....	46
2.4.3	Benefits and limitations for POE .....	46
2.4.4	POE methodologies for housing .....	48
2.5	Post Occupancy performance of ‘green’ homes .....	52
2.5.1	Successful case studies .....	52
2.5.2	The ‘performance gap’ .....	52
2.6	Conclusion .....	54
<b>Chapter 3: Methodology</b>		<b>57</b>
3.1	Introduction .....	57
3.2	Methodology overview .....	57
3.2.1	Research process .....	57
3.2.2	Step 1 – Research problems .....	58
3.2.3	Step 2 – Literature review .....	58
3.2.4	Step 3 – Identifying performance parameters .....	58
3.2.5	Step 4 – Ethics .....	59
3.2.6	Step 5 – Building recruitment .....	59
3.2.7	Step 6 – Data collection and processing .....	60
3.2.7.1	Thermal modelling .....	62
3.2.7.2	Energy consumption analysis .....	63
3.2.7.3	Shower efficiency .....	63
3.2.7.4	Room temperatures, relative humidity & CO <sub>2</sub> .....	64
3.2.7.5	Surface temperatures .....	65
3.2.7.6	Sound transmission measurement .....	66
3.2.7.7	Thermal resistance – thermography .....	67
3.2.7.8	Airtightness .....	68
3.2.7.9	Occupant satisfaction survey .....	69
3.2.8	Step 7 – Data analysis .....	70
3.2.8.1	Energy consumption analysis .....	70
3.2.8.2	IEQ monitoring analysis .....	71
3.2.8.3	Survey analysis .....	73
3.2.9	Step 8 – Results reporting .....	74
<b>Chapter 4: Results &amp; Data Analysis</b>		<b>75</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	75

4.2	ALF Modelling .....	75
4.3	Electricity Consumption .....	75
4.4	Shower Efficiency .....	83
4.5	IEQ .....	84
4.5.1	Noise Transmission Level .....	84
4.5.2	Room Temperatures .....	85
4.5.2.1	Healthy Temperatures .....	89
4.5.3	Surface Temperature .....	90
4.5.4	Relative Humidity .....	94
4.5.4.1	Humidity profiles .....	94
4.5.4.2	Acceptable RH Levels .....	95
4.5.5	Carbon dioxide levels .....	98
4.6	Airtightness .....	100
4.7	Thermography .....	101
4.8	Occupant Satisfaction .....	103
4.9	Chapter Summary .....	107

## **Chapter 5: Discussion of Results 109**

5.1	Energy- and Shower-Efficiency .....	109
5.1.1	Electricity use for heating .....	109
5.1.2	Water consumption .....	109
5.2	IEQ achieved: technical and occupants' perspectives .....	110
5.2.1	Thermal conditions .....	110
5.2.2	Level of internal moisture & condensation on indoor surfaces .....	111
5.2.3	IAQ .....	112
5.2.4	Exposure to noise .....	113
5.2.5	Lighting quality .....	113
5.3	Thermal Fitness .....	113

## **Chapter 6: Conclusion 115**

6.1	Introduction .....	115
6.2	Findings arising from the study objectives .....	115
6.2.1	Findings to objective 1 .....	116
6.2.2	Findings to objective 2 .....	117
6.2.3	Findings to objective 3 .....	117
6.3	Overall Conclusions .....	117
6.4	Limitations of the study .....	118
6.5	Recommendations .....	119
6.6	Future Research .....	120
6.6.1	Green Lifestyle in 'Green' Homes .....	120
6.6.2	Cost Benefit Analysis of a SUPERHOME .....	120

6.6.3 Performance comparison between Homestar™ home and SUPERHOME.....	121
6.6.4 Addressing privacy issues in home monitoring research .....	121
6.6.5 Sample Population.....	121
<b>References .....</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>Appendix 1: Ethics approval from MUHEC.....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>Appendix 2: Project Information Sheet &amp; Consent Form .....</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>Appendix 3: Participant Information Sheet &amp; Consent Form for BUS Questionnaire .....</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>Appendix 4: Data Collection issues .....</b>	<b>158</b>
<b>Appendix 5: Locations of iButton loggers .....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>Appendix 6: Noise Measurement - positions of loudspeaker and SLM.....</b>	<b>161</b>
<b>Appendix 7: BUS Questionnaire Sample.....</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>Appendix 8: Calculating OITC of given transmission loss information.....</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>Appendix 9: Air Leakage Test.....</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>Appendix 10: Calculating ALF.....</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>Appendix 11: Study building percentile graphs.....</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>Appendix 12: Copy of an electricity bill .....</b>	<b>182</b>
<b>Appendix 13: Thermographic test environmental conditions and equipment ....</b>	<b>183</b>



## **List of Tables**

### **Chapter 1: Introduction**

Table I-1: The Benefits of Green Homes .....	12
Table I-2: Weighted categories for Homestar™ Built v3 rating system.....	17
Table I-3: Homestar™ Star bands and required scores.....	18

### **Chapter 2: Review of the Literature**

Table II-1: Indoor air Pollutants, sources and health impacts .....	29
Table II-2: Reference spectrum values to calculate OITC, and the corresponding A-weighted reference values .....	36
Table II-3: Average annual energy consumption by dwelling and household size.....	37
Table II-4: NZBC insulation requirements for non-solid construction house and solid construction house .....	39
Table II-5: Percentage of housing stock using various fuel types for space heating .....	41
Table II-6: Questionnaire-based POE survey examples.....	51

### **Chapter 3: Methodology**

Table III-1: Differences between qualitative and quantitative research methods .....	62
Table III-2: BUS Questionnaire Variables Evaluated.....	69
Table III-3: temperature, relative humidity & CO <sub>2</sub> thresholds.....	72

### **Chapter 4: Results & Data Analysis**

Table IV-1: Heat Loss & Gain Summary.....	75
Table IV-2: Estimate of purchased electricity charges without PV - winter.....	78
Table IV-3: Daily energy usage and energy generated during winter.....	79
Table IV-4: Costs estimate with and without solar - 62 days during winter.....	83
Table IV-5: Shower flow rate test results.....	84
Table IV-6: Noise transmission loss test results.....	85
Table IV-7: Winter room and ambient temperatures for the period of 20/6/17 to 31/7/17 .....	86
Table IV-8: Mean temperatures at different times of the day for the period of the monitoring .....	89

Table IV-9: Proportion of time in each temperature range over 24 hrs for the period of the monitoring .....	90
Table IV-10: Proportion of time in each temperature range during occupied time periods for the period of the monitoring .....	90
Table IV-11: Internal surface temperatures.....	91
Table IV-12: RH means by time of day for the period of the monitoring.....	95
Table IV-13: Proportion of time at acceptable RH levels for the period of the monitoring .....	96
Table IV-14: CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations for the period of the monitoring .....	98
Table IV-15: Proportion of time at acceptable indoor CO <sub>2</sub> level for the period of the monitoring.....	99
Table IV-16: Mean CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations in parts per million (ppm) at different times of the day for the period of the monitoring.....	100
Table IV-17: Test results of the environmental parameters .....	100
Table IV-18: Test results of building airtightness.....	100
Table IV-19: Comfortable perception indices for the study home.....	104
Table IV-20: ‘Slider’ graphs of variables evaluated and ratings relative to the 2014 UK BUS Benchmark .....	107

## List of Figures

### **Chapter 1: Introduction**

---

Figure 1.1	Christchurch's Average Daily Temperatures by Month with Average Daily Maxima and Minima.....	21
Figure 1.2	Christchurch's Mean Monthly Total Sunshine Hours.....	21

### **Chapter 3: Methodology**

---

Figure 3.1	Flowchart of Steps of Research Process.....	57
Figure 3.2	Floor plans of the study home .....	61
Figure 3.3	Q-Trak Loggers on site.....	64
Figure 3.4	Locations of installed Q-Trak loggers.....	65
Figure 3.5	Description and photographs of iButton sensor .....	66
Figure 3.6	Visible image and thermogram of the aluminium baking pan	68
Figure 3.7	Pavol Csiba operating the FLUKE TiR1 .....	68

### **Chapter 4: Results & Data Analysis**

---

Figure 4.1	Winter mean daily purchased electricity use.....	76
Figure 4.2	Winter 24h electricity use profiles .....	77
Figure 4.3	Average winter 24h electricity use profile – weekends & weekdays .....	78
Figure 4.4	Purchased versus generated electricity – winter (22/6/17-23/8/17) .....	79
Figure 4.5	24h profile of purchased versus generated electricity – winter daily average (22/6/17-23/8/17) .....	80
Figure 4.6	24hr profile of purchased versus generated electricity on typical sunny winter days .....	80
Figure 4.7	24 hr profile of purchased versus generated electricity on typical overcast winter days .....	81
Figure 4.8	24 hr profile of purchased versus generated electricity on typical winter days with high electricity use .....	82
Figure 4.9	24 hr profile of purchased versus generated electricity on typical winter days with low electricity use .....	83
Figure 4.10	Temperature profiles when maximum temperatures were reached .....	87

Figure 4.11	Average 24-hour temperature profiles for the period of the monitoring .....	88
Figure 4.12	Indoor surfaces temperatures during winter .....	93
Figure 4.13	Winter RH .....	94
Figure 4.14	Average 24-hour indoor and outdoor RH profiles for the period of the monitoring.....	95
Figure 4.15	Profile when maximum RH level was reached .....	97
Figure 4.16	Profiles when the lowest RH levels were reached.....	97
Figure 4.17	Average 24-hour CO <sub>2</sub> profiles for the period of the monitoring .....	99
Figure 4.18	Depressurization and pressurization test results by GDW Builders Ltd.....	101
Figure 4.19	Thermographs illustrating lack of insulation (a) at the junction between the wall and the ceiling; and, (b) wall coverage above the bookshelf .....	102
Figure 4.20	A thermograph showing lack of insulation of laundry room's ceilings .....	102
Figure 4.21	A thermograph showing possible heating defects .....	103
Figure 4.22	A thermograph showing a thermal anomaly .....	103

## **Abbreviations**

BRANZ	Building Research Association of New Zealand
BRS	Building Rating Scheme
BUS	Building in Use Studies
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
dB	decibels
dB(A)	A-weighted decibels
GHG	Greenhouse Gas
HEEP	Household Energy End-use Project
IAQ	Indoor Air Quality
IECC	International Energy Conservation Code
IEQ	Indoor Environment Quality
LPM	Litres Per Minute
NIWA	National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen Dioxide
NZBC	New Zealand Building Code
NZBCSD	New Zealand Business Council for Sustainable Development
NZGBC	New Zealand Green Building Council
OITC	Outdoor Indoor Transmission Class
PIS	Participant Information Sheet
POE	Post Occupancy Evaluation
PV	Photovoltaic
RH	Relative Humidity
SHM	SUPERHOME Movement
SLM	Sound Level Meter
STC	Sound Transmission Class
TL	Transmission Loss
uPVC	Unplasticized Polyvinyl Chloride
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
WHO	World Health Organization